

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	LING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		CONFIRMATION NO	
09/677,197	10/02/2000	Mehran Mehregany	47799.00003	47799.00003 7092	
7590 11/24/2004 -			EXAMINER		
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.			ABDI, KAMBIZ		
Two Renaissance Square Suite 2700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
40 North Central Avenue			3621		
Phoenix, AZ 85004-4498			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-/1
Office Action Summary		09/677,197	MEHREGANY ET AL.	5)
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kambiz Abdi	3621	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address -	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tily within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communical ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status		•		
1)⊠ 2a)⊟ 3)⊟		s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>26-40</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>26-40</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject.	wn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			•
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			,
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1 September 2004</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/677,197

DETAILED ACTION

1. The prior office actions are incorporated herein by reference. In particular, the observations with respect to claim language, and response to previously presented arguments.

- Claims 1-25are canceled.
- Claims 38-40 are added.
- Claims 26-40 have been considered.
- 2. Objection to the claims have been withdrawn based on the amendments provided by the applicant.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1 September 2004 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 1 September 2004, with respect to claims 26-40 have been considered but are not persuasive for the following reasons:

In response to applicant argument regarding rejection of Claims 26 and 32 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by Salmon et al.

The examiner believes that the argument that the applicant has put forward with regards to claim 26 is not persuasive to over come the prior art of record. The reasoning behind the argument by the applicant is that the "aggregated first describing the plurality of suppliers comprises...quantities indicators of supplier qualities including at least one of patent held, of grants received, of contracts awarded..."

With regards to the limitation of said "description...of suppliers" comprises patents held, grants received, or contracts awarded. One skilled in the art would know that grants received is considered as academic accomplishment as well as one way of gauging and evaluation of a candidate for a position in

research or academic environment. As it should be extended prior art of record Salmon clearly discloses and teaches a computer implemented system of brokering buyers and sellers that match, based on evaluation of criteria such as academic achievements (degree earned, grants received, papers published, etc.), work experience (years of practice, time spent working on an specific project area of interest, length of employment, number of contracts fulfilled, etc.), and so on. Awarding a contract is an essential part of obtaining a project or employment, therefor, it is clear that one having work experience has to be awarded contracts to be able to fulfill contractual agreements. They all have the quantitative value of gauging the capabilities of either the individual or a group of experts in the area of interest to either buyer or seller. Salmon is clear and explicit on teaching the evaluation steps and how to utilize and consider the descriptive information collected, as described above, in regards to the candidates.

Salmon discloses contract information (See Salmon text of the summary and column 3, lines 14-48, column 4, lines 38-64, column 5, lines 5-24, column 7, lines 40-58).

Salmon does not expressly show:

- quantity of patent held,
- quantity of grants received, and

However these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited. The steps of the information collection, storage, and evaluation would be performed the same regardless of the data. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to collect from a customer any type of quantity of patent held, quantity of grants received, and quantity of contracts awarded, because such data does not functionally relate to the steps in the method claimed and because the subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

Therefore, the current examiner maintains the previous rejection of the claimed invention as they have been amended and presented in their current form.

Application/Control Number: 09/677,197

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 26-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5.592,375 to Bardwell C. Salmon et al.
- 7. As per claims 26 and 32, Salmon discloses a method for limiting disclosure of a first description of a project for at least one of research and development, the method comprising:

accessing aggregated first data describing a set of suppliers and a aggregated second data describing the project to identify a first subset of the set of suppliers, each supplier of the first subset having a quantitative extent of correspondence between the respective aggregated first data and the aggregated second data, wherein (See Salmon figures 3b, 4a-4q, 5, 6a-6e, and 7a-7n along with associated text, column 1, lines 18-68, column 2, lines 1-55, column 3, lines 14-48, column 4, lines 38-64, column 5, lines 5-24, column 7, lines 40-58, column 8, lines 4-61, column 10, lines 10-56, column 14, lines 35-68, and column 15, lines 1-21):

- (1) determining the respective quantitative extent of correspondence and identifying the respective supplier to the first subset are performed by a stored program computer (See Salmon figures 3b, 4a-4q, 5, 6a-6e, and 7a-7n along with associated text, column 1, lines 18-68, column 2, lines 1-55, column 3, lines 14-48, column 4, lines 38-64, column 5, lines 5-24, column 7, lines 40-58, column 8, lines 4-61, column 10, lines 10-56, column 14, lines 35-68, and column 15, lines 1-21);
 - (2) aggregated first data describing the plurality of suppliers comprises for each supplier:
- (a) indicators of subject matter (Area of Expertise), wherein the subject matter is known to the supplier (See Salmon figures 3b, 4a-4q, 5, 6a-6e, and 7a-7n along with associated text, column 1,

lines 18-68, column 2, lines 1-55, column 3, lines 14-48, column 4, lines 38-64, column 5, lines 5-24, column 7, lines 40-58, column 8, lines 4-61, column 10, lines 10-56, column 14, lines 35-68, and column 15, lines 1-21); and

- (b) quantitative indicators of supplier qualities including at least one of quantity of patents held; magnitude or quantity of grants received, and magnitude or quantity of contracts awarded (See Salmon figures 3b, 4a-4q, 5, 6a-6e, and 7a-7n along with associated text, column 1, lines 18-68, column 2, lines 1-55, column 3, lines 14-48, column 4, lines 38-64, column 5, lines 5-24, column 7, lines 40-58, column 8, lines 4-61, column 10, lines 10-56, column 14, lines 35-68, and column 15, lines 1-21);
 - (3) aggregated second data describing the project comprises:
- (a) indicators of particular subject matter including at least one of particular subject matter to be invented, particular subject matter to be reduced to practice, and particular subject matter for a commercial product (See Salmon figures 3b, 4a-4q, 5, 6a-6e, and 7a-7n along with associated text, column 1, lines 18-68, column 2, lines 1-55, column 3, lines 14-48, column 4, lines 38-64, column 5, lines 5-24, column 7, lines 40-58, column 8, lines 4-61, column 10, lines 10-56, column 14, lines 35-68, and column 15, lines 1-21); and
- (b) quantitative indicators of particular supplier qualities including at least one of desire minimum quantity of patents held, particular minimum magnitude or quantity of grants received, and particular minimum magnitude or quantity of contracts awarded (See Salmon figures 3b, 4a-4q, 5, 6a-6e, and 7a-7n along with associated text, column 1, lines 18-68, column 2, lines 1-55, column 3, lines 14-48, column 4, lines 38-64, column 5, lines 5-24, column 7, lines 40-58, column 8, lines 4-61, column 10, lines 10-56, column 14, lines 35-68, and column 15, lines 1-21); and

reporting the first subset of suppliers for a first restricted distribution of the first description of the project for a buyer seeking a supplier having a desired capacity for at least one comprising the indicators of particular subject matter (See Salmon figures 3b, 4a-4q, 5, 6a-6e, and 7a-7n along with associated text, column 1, lines 18-68, column 2, lines 1-55, column 3, lines 14-48, column 4, lines 38-64,

column 5, lines 5-24, column 7, lines 40-58, column 8, lines 4-61, column 10, lines 10-56, column 14, lines 35-68, and column 15, lines 1-21).

Salmon discloses contract information (See Salmon text of the summary and column 3, lines 14-48, column 4, lines 38-64, column 5, lines 5-24, column 7, lines 40-58).

Salmon does not expressly show:

- quantity of patent held,
- quantity of grants received, and

However these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited. The steps of the information collection, storage, and evaluation would be performed the same regardless of the data. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to collect from a customer any type of quantity of patent held, quantity of grants received, and quantity of contracts awarded, because such data does not functionally relate to the steps in the method claimed and because the subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

8. As per claims 27 and 33, Salmon discloses all the limitations of claims 26 and 32; further, Salmon discloses,

receiving plurality of proposal, each respective proposal from a member of the first subset: accessing the aggregated first data to identify a second subset of-suppliers, each member of the second subset having indicators of particular subject matter known to the member corresponding to the indicators of particular subject matter (See Salmon figures 3b, 4a-4q, 5, 6a-6e, and 7a-7n along with associated text, column 1, lines 18-68, column 2, lines 1-55, column 3, lines 14-48, column 4, lines 38-64, column 5, lines 5-24, column 7, lines 40-58, column 8, lines 4-61, column 10, lines 10-56, column 14, lines 35-68, and column 15, lines 1-21); and

providing a multiplicity of the plurality of proposals to a member of the second subset for identifying a supplier of the first subset to be eliminated from a second restricted distribution of a second description of the project (See Salmon figures 3b, 4a-4q, 5, 6a-6e, and 7a-7n along with associated text, column 1, lines 18-68, column 2, lines 1-55, column 3, lines 14-48, column 4, lines 38-64, column 5, lines 5-24, column 7, lines 40-58, column 8, lines 4-61, column 10, lines 10-56, column 14, lines 35-68, and column 15, lines 1-21).

As per claims 28 and 34, Salmon discloses all the limitations of claims 26 and 32; further,
 Salmon discloses,

searching an information network to identify search results; and indexing the identified search results to provide keyword access (See Salmon figures 3b, 4a-4q, 5, 6a-6e, and 7a-7n along with associated text, column 1, lines 18-68, column 2, lines 1-55, column 3, lines 14-48, column 4, lines 38-64, column 5, lines 5-24, column 7, lines 40-58, column 8, lines 4-61, column 10, lines 10-56, column 14, lines 35-68, and column 15, lines 1-21).

10. As per claims 29 and 35, Salmon discloses all the limitations of claims 28 and 34; further, Salmon discloses,

aggregating further comprises storing a record according to at least a portion of a message received from the information network; and indexing comprises analyzing the record (See Salmon figures 3b, 4a-4q, 5, 6a-6e, and 7a-7n along with associated text, column 1, lines 18-68, column 2, lines 1-55, column 3, lines 14-48, column 4, lines 38-64, column 5, lines 5-24, column 7, lines 40-58, column 8, lines 4-61, column 10, lines 10-56, column 14, lines 35-68, and column 15, lines 1-21).

11. As per claims 30 and 36, Salmon discloses all the limitations of claims 26 and 32; further, Salmon discloses,

conducting the first restricted distribution in accordance with the report (See Salmon figures 3b, 4a-4q, 5, 6a-6e, and 7a-7n along with associated text, column 1, lines 18-68, column 2, lines 1-55,

column 3, lines 14-48, column 4, lines 38-64, column 5, lines 5-24, column 7, lines 40-58, column 8, lines 4-61, column 10, lines 10-56, column 14, lines 35-68, and column 15, lines 1-21).

12. As per claims 31 and 37, Salmon discloses all the limitations of claims 26 and 32; further, Salmon discloses,

wherein the report is ordered in accordance with the quantitative extent of correspondence (See Salmon figures 3b, 4a-4q, 5, 6a-6e, and 7a-7n along with associated text, column 1, lines 18-68,

column 2, lines 1-55, column 3, lines 14-48, column 4, lines 38-64, column 5, lines 5-24, column 7, lines 40-58, column 8, lines 4-61, column 10, lines 10-56, column 14, lines 35-68, and column 15, lines 1-21).

- 13. As per claim 38, Salmon discloses the method of claim 26 wherein the aggregated second data describing the project is generated using the assistance of a broker (See Salmon abstract, column 1, lines 18-38, column 8. lines 40-68).
- 14. As per claim 39, Salmon discloses the method of claim 38 wherein the broker receives one or more objectives of the project from the buyer via a network and utilizes the received objectives to generate at least a portion of the aggregated second data (See Salmon abstract, column 1, lines 18-38, column 8. lines 40-68).
- 15. As per claim 40, Salmon discloses the method of claim 27 wherein a broker reviews at least one of the received proposals and provides feedback to the supplier associated with the reviewed proposal, and wherein the supplier modifies the reviewed proposal in response to the feedback (See Salmon abstract, column 1, lines 18-38, column 8. lines 40-68, and column 9, lines 14-27).
- 16. Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior arts of record in the body of this action for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the response, to consider fully the entire references as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed

Application/Control Number: 09/677,197

Art Unit: 3621

invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior arts or disclosed by the

examiner.

Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Kambiz Abdi whose telephone number is (703) 305-3364. The examiner can normally be

reached on 9 AM to 5:00 PM.

18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

James P Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov, Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Page 9

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 [Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

(703) 746-7749 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive 7th floor receptionist, Arlington, VA, 22202

Kambiz Abdi

Examinet

November 22, 2004